



DAN

PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re the Application of

Hirotsuna MIURA

Group Art Unit: 1773

Application No.: 10/807,283

Examiner: R. ZACHARIA

Filed: March 24, 2004

Docket No.: 119230

For: DISPLAY DEVICE, ELECTRONIC APPARATUS, AND METHOD OF
MANUFACTURING DISPLAY DEVICE

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

In reply to the April 20, 2007 Office Action, reconsideration of the rejection is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application. Claims 2-5 and 8-21 are withdrawn.

The April 20 Office Action withdraws claims 3 and 4 from further consideration. Particularly, the Office Action asserts that claims 3 and 4 are directed to a non-elected species. Specifically, the Office Action asserts that claims 3 and 4 are now withdrawn because claims 3 and 4 require the claimed substrate to have a shrinking property and the previously elected species is drawn to an extendable substrate. Applicant respectfully disagrees with this assertion.

Applicant respectfully submits that claims 3-5 are drawn to a substrate having a shrinking property that is also recited in independent claim 1. Importantly, the previously elected species does not specifically exclude substrates having a shrinking property. That is, independent claim 1 and claims 3-5 are not mutually exclusive. Furthermore, the previously